



MOTIVATION



PLATFORM



REACTIVE CONTROL



Pros: Cons: No Mapping • Myopic \bullet **DELIBERATIVE PLANNING** Tracked waypoint Current best trajectory

Pros:

- Goal Planning
- Cons: Computationally more expensive.

Forwar Facin camer
Downw Facing
Trajector reced horizon o
• Init
aro 5
-5

Trajectory Following in GPS Denied Environments for UAVs using Receding Horizon Control

Sam Zeng, M. Talha Agcayazi Advisor: Drew Bagnell



ound its desired trajectory as shown below:

the vision side of the pipeline is working.





CHALLENGES & **SOLUTIONS**

😝 🗐 🕜 Reconfigure			
/receding_follower			
кр: 0 🗕 🗍	5	0.75	
KI: 0 ()	5	0	
кd: 0 🕢	5	0.15	
ка: 0 💭	5	0	
pos_thresh: 0	0.5	0.04	
ang_thresh: 0 -0	180	30	
look_ahead: 0	3	0.8	





Arm Disarm



barometer sensors was implemented for

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Our thanks are extended to all those who made RISS possible.

REFERENCES

2014.

• [1] Stephane Ross, Narek Melik-Barkhudarov, Kumar Shaurya Shankar, Andreas Wendel, Debadeepta Dey, J. Andrew (Drew) Bagnell, and Martial Hebert, "Learning Monocular Reactive UAV Control in Cluttered Natural Environments," IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, March, 2013. • [2] "BIRD MURI." RobotWhisperer RSS. N.p., n.d. Web. 05 Aug.





MOTIVATION

- Getting a quadcopter to fly through dense forest using receding horizon control.
- This requires a set of controllers that would enable the quadcopter to follow trajectories.
- IMU
- PSEye
- Odroid XU
- Ardupilot





Method

- A simple cascading PID control loop should allow the drone to follow trajectories. We will implement this in three steps. Build and tune PD controllers that allow us to achieve
 - command velocities.
 - Build and tune PD controller to generate command 2. velocities to get the drone to hover around a point in the world frame.
 - Integrated this with existing code so the drone follows 3. trajectories by attempting to "hover" around a constantly moving waypoint.



Closing the Control Loop Around Visual Odometry

RISS: Sam Zeng, M. Talha Agcayazi Advisor: Drew Bagnell

Carnegie Mellon University, Robotics Institute

CHALLANGES

User Interface

- Hard to keep track of running ROS nodes with Terminal screens.
- Need a software kill switch.
- Visualizations implemented.



- Tuning • Hard to change gains during program runtime.
- Initially, the drone oscillated significantly around its desired trajectory as shown below:



CONCLUSION and FUTURE WORK

Our current implementation of these three layers of PD controllers has so far demonstrated consistent and robust control of the drone. • We plan to also compare the drone's trajectory following with respect to ground truth pose estimation with Vicon motion capture. • We plan to test the whole receding horizon pipeline in a dense forest setting once the vision side of the pipeline is working.



SOLUTIONS

Command and Control Interface

- Status Bar to show Node status
- Simple commands like land and kill button implemented.
- Easy to add different ROS GUI plugins like RVIZ to visualize on the same window.



😣 🗐 🗊 Reconfigure		
/ardu_driver		~
rate_Kpr: 0	1	0.22
rate_Kpp: 0	1	0.22
rate_Kpy: 0	1	0.22
rate_Kdr: 0	5	0
rate_Kdp: 0	5	2ª
rate_Kdy: 0	5	0
vel_Kpr: 0	5	1.5
vel_Kpp: 0	5	1.5
vel_Kpy: 0	5	1
vel_Kdr: 0	5	0
vel_Kdp: 0	5	0
vel_Kdy: 0	5	0
	2000	

Dynamic Reconfigure was implemented.

RESULTS

Tuning several parameters through dynamic reconfigure allowed us to rapidly improved trajectory following performance.



ACHNOWLEDGEMENTS

- We would like to express our gratitude towards Dey, Matt, Shaurya, Drew and Martial for their guidance and support.
- Our thanks are extended to all those who made RISS possible.

Tuning