

# Legibility of User Input in Assistive Teleoperation

Kenton C.T. Lee, University of Pennsylvania Personal Robotics Lab - Robotics Institute, Carnegie Mellon University Advisor: Anca Dragan, Director: Siddhartha Srinivasa



#### Motivation

Even a perfect prediction algorithm makes mistakes due to noise from the interface. Users can increase the robustness of a prediction algorithm with legible motion.



#### Metric of Legibility

 $P_s(G_{predicted} = G_{intended})$  $L = \frac{s \in trajectory}{}$ |trajectory|

(a) Ambiguous Trajectory

(b) Legible Trajectory

Start  $(\bigcirc)$ , Trajectory  $(\bigcirc)$ , Intended Goal  $(\bigcirc)$ , Distractor Goal  $(\bigcirc)$ , Prediction (0.x/0.x)

The correct goal is predicted with greater confidence and a larger margin of error when a legible trajectory is demonstrated.

Can users learn to provide more intent-expressive input to the robot?

### Insight

- Closed system
- User adaptation

## **Assistive Teleoperation**

#### User Study

Hypothesis: Users can significantly improve legibility with explicit training from the predictor.







Joint mapping from the user's arm to the robot's arm



Assistive Teleoperation System: We hypothesize that the transparency of the predictor will facilitate legible motion.

Training Interface: Size of the blue ring indicates the predictor's confidence

# **Preliminary Results**

Preliminary data suggest that users can significantly improve their ability to demonstrate intent with legible motion ▶ t(188) = 0.278, p = 0.024

#### Acknowledgements

Thanks to Sidd, Anca, and all members of the Personal Robotics Lab for the guidance and support.

